arXiv:1702.06414v1 [math.LO] 21 Feb 2017

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE CANONICAL EXTENSION OF BOOLEAN HOMOMORPHISMS

LUCIANO J. GONZÁLEZ

Abstract. The aim of this article is to obtain a characterization of the canonical extension of Boolean homomorphisms via the Stone-Čech compactification.

1. Introduction

Canonical extensions of Boolean algebras with operators were introduced and studied by Jónsson and Tarski [10, 11]. Then, the notion of canonical extension for Boolean algebras with operator was naturally generalized to the setting of distributive lattices with operator [5]. From here, the notion of canonical extension was generalized to the setting of lattices [6, 7, 4] and to the more general setting of partially ordered sets [2]. The theory of completions of ordered algebraic structures, and in particular the canonical extension, is an important tool to obtain many completeness results in non-classical logics (such as modal, superintuitionistic logics, fragments of substructural logics, etc.).

The theory of Stone-Čech compactification of discrete spaces and in particular of discrete semigroup spaces has many application to several branches of mathematics, see the book [9] and its references. Here we are interested on the characterization of the Stone-Čech compactification of a discrete space through of the Stone duality for Boolean algebras.

In this paper we show a characterization of the canonical extension of a Boolean homomorphism between Boolean algebras [10] using the theory of the Stone-Čech compactification and the theory of the Stone duality for Boolean algebras. In other words, we present another way to obtain the canonical extension of a Boolean homomorphism using topological tools.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the categorical dual equivalence between Boolean algebras and Boolean spaces and the main results about of the canonical extension for Boolean algebras. In Section 3 we briefly discuss the main concepts of the theory of the Stone-Čech compactification. Lastly, Section 4 is the main section of the paper and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03G05; Secondary 06E15, 06E25, 06B23.

Key words and phrases. Boolean algebras, canonical extension, Stone-Čech compactification.

there we develop the characterization of the canonical extension of Boolean homomorphisms.

2. Duality theory and canonical extension

We assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of lattices and Boolean algebras. We set some notations and results that we will need for what follows. For a lattice L, we denote by $\mathsf{Fi}(L)$ and $\mathsf{Id}(L)$ the collections of all filters and all ideals of L, respectively and for a Boolean algebra B, we denote by $\mathsf{Uf}(B)$ the collection of all ultrafilters of B.

Let L be a lattice. A *completion* of L is pair $\langle C, e\rangle$ such that C is a complete lattice and $e\colon L \to C$ is a lattice embedding. A completion $\langle C, e\rangle$ of L is said to be *dense* if for every $c \in C$,

$$c = \bigvee \{\bigwedge e[F] : F \in \mathsf{Fi}(L), \bigwedge e[F] \leq c\} \text{ and}$$
$$c = \bigwedge \{\bigvee e[I] : I \in \mathsf{Id}(L), c \leq \bigvee e[I]\}.$$

A completion $\langle C, e\rangle$ of L is said to be *compact* when for every $F \in \mathsf{Fi}(L)$ and every $I \in \mathsf{Id}(L)$, if $\bigwedge e[F] \leq \bigvee e[I]$ then $F \cap I \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.1 ([4])**.** *For every lattice L, there exists a unique, up to isomorphism, dense and compact completion $\langle C, e\rangle$.*

Definition 2.2 ([4])**.** Let L be a lattice. The unique dense and compact completion of L is called the *canonical extension* of L and it is denoted by L^σ.

Via the topological representation theory for distributive lattices and Boolean algebras were shown the following important facts.

Proposition 2.3 ([5])**.** *Let L be a bounded distributive lattice. Then, the canonical extension L^σ of L is a completely distributive algebraic lattice.*

Proposition 2.4 ([10, pp. 908-910])**.** *Let B be a Boolean algebra. Then, the canonical extension B^σ of B is an atomic and complete Boolean algebra.*

Now we are going to focus on the framework of Boolean algebras.

Let B_1 and B_2 be Boolean algebras and let $\langle B_1^\sigma, \varphi_1\rangle$ and $\langle B_2^\sigma, \varphi_2\rangle$ be their canonical extensions, respectively. Let $h\colon B_1 \to B_2$ be an order-preserving map. The *canonical extension* of h is the map $h^\sigma\colon B_1^\sigma \to B_2^\sigma$ defined by (see [10])

$$h^\sigma(u) = \bigvee \left\{\bigwedge \{\varphi_2(h(a)) : a \in F\} : F \in \mathsf{Fi}(B_1), \bigwedge \varphi_1[F] \leq u\right\} \tag{2.1}$$

for every $u \in B_1^\sigma$. The map h^σ is order-preserving and extends the map h, that is, for every $a \in B_1$, $h^\sigma(\varphi_1(a)) = \varphi_2(h(a))$. The notion of canonical extension for order-preserving maps was generalized to more general settings, for instance, for distributive lattice [5, 6, 7], for lattices [4] and for partially ordered sets [2].

It is well known [1, Chapter 11] that the category **BA** of Boolean algebras and Boolean homomorphisms is dually equivalent to the category **BS** of

Boolean spaces (also called Stone spaces) and continuous maps. Let us describe the contravariant functors $(.)_*\colon \mathbf{BA} \to \mathbf{BS}$ and $(.)^*\colon \mathbf{BS} \to \mathbf{BA}$. Let B be a Boolean algebra. Let $\varphi\colon B \to \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B))$ be the map define as follows: for $a \in B$,

$$\varphi(a) = \{u \in \mathsf{Uf}(B) : a \in u\}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then $B_* := \langle \mathsf{Uf}(B), \tau_B\rangle$ where τ_B is the topology on $\mathsf{Uf}(B)$ generated by the base $\{\varphi(a) : a \in B\}$. If $h\colon B_1 \to B_2$ is a Boolean homomorphism, then the dual $h_*\colon \mathsf{Uf}(B_2) \to \mathsf{Uf}(B_1)$ is defined as $h_* := h^{-1}$. Let X be a Boolean space and let $\mathsf{Clop}(X)$ be the collection of all clopen subsets of X. Then $X^* := \langle \mathsf{Clop}(X), \cap, \cup, \emptyset, X\rangle$. If $f\colon X_1 \to X_2$ is a continuous map, then $f^*\colon \mathsf{Clop}(X_2) \to \mathsf{Clop}(X_1)$ is defined as $f^* := f^{-1}$.

It was shown in [10] that the canonical extension of a Boolean algebra B is up to isomorphism $\langle \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B)), \varphi\rangle$, where φ is defined by (2.2). Now, since $\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B))$ is in fact a Boolean algebra, we can consider its dual Boolean space $\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B))_*$ and the map $\widehat{\varphi}\colon \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B)) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B))_*)$ defined as

$$\widehat{\varphi}(A) = \{\nabla \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B))_* : A \in \nabla\}.$$

From now on we will identify the canonical extension B^σ of a Boolean algebra B with $\langle \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B), \varphi\rangle$. Hence, by (2.1), the canonical extension of an order-preserving map $h\colon B_1 \to B_2$ became

$$h^\sigma(A) = \bigcup \left\{ \bigcap \{\varphi_2(h(a)) : a \in F\} : F \in \mathsf{Fi}(B_1), \bigcap \varphi_1[F] \subseteq A \right\} \tag{2.3}$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_1))$.

3. The Stone-Čech compactification

Our main references for the concepts and results consider in this section are [3] and [8]. We assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of general topology.

Let X and Y be topological spaces. A map $f\colon X \to Y$ is said to be a *homeomorphic embedding* if $f\colon X \to f[X]$ is a homeomorphism.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a topological space. A *compactification* of X is a pair $\langle Y, c\rangle$ such that Y is a compact Hausdorff topological space and $c\colon X \to Y$ is a homeomorphic embedding where $c[X]$ is dense in Y.

Theorem 3.2. *A topological space X has a compactification if and only if X is a Tychonoff space.*

Compactifications of a space X will be denoted by cX (or $c(X)$); that is, cX is a compact Hausdorff space and $c\colon X \to cX$ is a homeomorphic embedding such that $\overline{c[X]} = cX$ (where $\overline{c[X]}$ is the topological closure of the set $c[X]$ in the space cX).

Let X be a topological space. Let us denote by $\mathsf{Com}(X)$ the collection of all compactifications of X. Let c_1X and c_2X be two compactifications of X. We say that c_1X and c_2X are *equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $f\colon c_1X \to c_2X$ such that $f \circ c_1 = c_2$. It is clear that the relation



FIGURE 1. Commutative diagram

of "be equivalent" on $\mathsf{Com}(X)$ is an equivalence relation. Let us denote by $\mathcal{C}om(X)$ the set of all classes of equivalence. In the sequel we shall identify equivalent compactifications; any class of equivalent compactifications will be considered as a single compactification and denoted by cX, where cX is an arbitrary compactification in this class.

Now we define the binary relation $\leq$ on $\mathcal{C}om(X)$ as follows:

$$c_2X \leq c_1X \quad \text{iff} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text{there exists a continuous map } f\colon c_1X \to c_2X \\ \text{such that } f \circ c_1 = c_2.\end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

It straightforward to show that $\leq$ is a partial order on $\mathcal{C}om(X)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a topological space. Every non-empty subfamily $\mathcal{C}_0$ of $\mathcal{C}om(X)$ has a least upper bound with respect to the order $\leq$ in $\mathcal{C}om(X)$.*

Corollary 3.4. *For every Tychonoff space X, there exists in $\mathcal{C}om(X)$ the greatest element with respect to $\leq$.*

Definition 3.5. Let X be a Tychonoff space. The greatest element in $\mathcal{C}om(X)$ is called the *Stone-Čech compactification* of X and is denoted by βX.

The Stone-Čech compactification has the following important universal mapping property.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a Tychonoff space. If $f\colon X \to Y$ is a continuous map into a compact Hausdorff space Y, then there exists a unique continuous map $f^\beta\colon \beta X \to Y$ such that $f^\beta \circ \beta = f$, see Figure 1.*

Now we move to consider the Stone-Čech compactification of discrete spaces. The following result is known (see [3]), but for the sake of completeness, we offer a proof.

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a discrete topological space. Then, $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$ is (up to equivalent compactification) the Stone-Čech compactification of X with $\beta\colon X \to \mathcal{P}(X)_*$ defined by*

$$\beta(x) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(X) : x \in A\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. In order to prove that $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$ is the Stone-Čech compactification of X, we must to show that $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$ is the greatest compactification of X.

First, we show that $\langle \mathcal{P}(X)_*, \beta\rangle$ is a compactification of X. It is clear that for every $x \in X$, $\beta(x)$ is an ultrafilter of the Boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(X)$, i.e., $\beta(x) \in \mathcal{P}(X)_*$. Thus β is well defined. It is also clear that β is injective and continuous. Now we show that $\beta\colon X \to \beta[X]$ is an open map. Let $A \subseteq X$. From (2.2), we have $\varphi(A) = \{\nabla \in \mathsf{Uf}(\mathcal{P}(X)) : A \in \nabla\}$. Then, it is straightforward to show that $\beta[A] = \beta[X] \cap \varphi(A)$. Since, $\varphi(A)$ is an open basic of the space $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$, it follows that $\beta[A]$ is an open subset of $\beta[X]$ and hence β is an open map. We have proved that $\beta\colon X \to \beta[X]$ is a homeomorphism. Now let us show that $\beta[X]$ is dense in $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$. Recall that the family$\{\varphi(A) : A \in \mathcal{P}(X)\}$ is a base for $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$. Let $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ be non-empty. As $A \neq \emptyset$, there exists $x \in A$. Then $\beta(x) \in \varphi(A)$ and thus $\beta[X] \cap \varphi(A) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\beta[X]$ is dense in $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$. We thus have obtained that $\langle \mathcal{P}(X)_*, \beta\rangle$ is a compactification of X.

Now we show that $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$ is the greatest compactification of X. Let cX be a compatification of X. We define the map $f\colon \mathcal{P}(X)_* \to cX$ by

$$\{f(\nabla)\} = \bigcap \left\{\overline{B} \subseteq cX : c^{-1}[B] \in \nabla\right\}, \tag{3.3}$$

that is, for every $\nabla \in \mathcal{P}(X)_*$, $f(\nabla)$ is the unique element of the intersection

$$\bigcap \left\{\overline{B} \subseteq cX : c^{-1}[B] \in \nabla\right\}.$$

That the previous intersection is a singleton follows from the fact the space cX is Hausdorff and compact. Thus, f is well defined. Let us show that $f \circ \beta = c$. Let $x \in X$. Then, by (3.3) and since the space cX is Hausdorff, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\{f(\beta(x))\} &= \bigcap \left\{\overline{B} \subseteq cX : c^{-1}[B] \in \beta(x)\right\} \\ &= \bigcap \left\{\overline{B} \subseteq cX : c(x) \in B\right\} = \{c(x)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that f is continuous. Let U be an open subset of cX. Let $\nabla \in f^{-1}[U]$. So $f(\nabla) \in U$ and then $\bigcap\{\overline{B} \subseteq cX : c^{-1}[B] \in \nabla\} \subseteq U$. Since cX is compact, there exist $\overline{B_1}, \ldots, \overline{B_n} \subseteq cX$ such that $c^{-1}[B_i] \in \nabla$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $\overline{B_1} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{B_n} \subseteq U$. As ∇ is an ultrafilter of $\mathcal{P}(X)$, we have $A := c^{-1}[B_1] \cap \cdots \cap c^{-1}[B_n] \in \nabla$. Then $\nabla \in \varphi(A)$. In order to prove that $\varphi(A) \subseteq f^{-1}[U]$, let $\nabla' \in \varphi(A)$. So $A \in \nabla'$ and then $c^{-1}[B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_n] = c^{-1}[B_1] \cap \cdots \cap c^{-1}[B_n] = A \in \nabla'$. Thus $f(\nabla') \in \overline{B_1 \cap \cdots \cap B_n} \subseteq \overline{B_1} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{B_n} \subseteq U$. Hence $\nabla' \in f^{-1}[U]$ and thus we obtain that $\varphi(A) \subseteq f^{-1}[U]$. Therefore $f^{-1}[U]$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$.

We have proved that $f\colon \mathcal{P}(X)_* \to cX$ is a continuous map such that $f \circ \beta = c$. Hence $cX \leq \mathcal{P}(X)_*$ and thus $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$ is the greatest compactification of X. Therefore $\langle \mathcal{P}(X)_*, \beta\rangle$ is the Stone-Čech compactification of X. □

From now on we will identify the Stone-Čech compactification βX of a discrete topological space X with $\mathcal{P}(X)_*$.



FIGURE 2.



FIGURE 3.

4. Characterization of the canonical extensions of Boolean homomorphisms

Let B_1 and B_2 be Boolean algebras and let $h\colon B_1 \to B_2$ be a Boolean homomorphism. Then, by the duality between **BA** and **BS**, we have the dual $h_*\colon (B_2)_* \to (B_1)_*$ of h. Recall that $(B_i)_* = \mathsf{Uf}(B_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. For every $i = 1, 2$, consider the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta(\mathsf{Uf}(B_i))$ of the discrete space $\mathsf{Uf}(B_i)$. For $i = 1, 2$, we write $\beta_i\colon \mathsf{Uf}(B_i) \to \beta(\mathsf{Uf}(B_i))$ for the corresponding homeomorphic embeddings. Now we consider the composition $\beta_1 \circ h_*\colon \mathsf{Uf}(B_2) \to \beta(\mathsf{Uf}(B_1))$. The map $\beta_1 \circ h_*$ is trivially continuous. Then, by Theorem 3.6, there exists a unique continuous map $(\beta_1 \circ h_*)^\beta\colon \beta(\mathsf{Uf}(B_2)) \to \beta(\mathsf{Uf}(B_1))$ such that $(\beta_1 \circ h_*)^\beta \circ \beta_2 = \beta_1 \circ h_*$, see Figure 2. We denote $h_*^\beta := (\beta_1 \circ h_*)^\beta$. Thus

$$h_*^\beta \circ \beta_2 = \beta_1 \circ h_* \tag{4.1}$$

and hence we obtain the commutative diagram in Figure 3.

Now recall that $\beta(\mathsf{Uf}(B_i)) = \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_i))_*$ for $i = 1, 2$ and thus we have

$$h_*^\beta\colon \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_2))_* \to \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_1))_*. \tag{4.2}$$

Moreover, recall that $\widehat{\varphi_i}\colon \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_i)) \to \mathcal{P}\left(\beta(\mathsf{Uf}(B_i))\right)$ is given by $\widehat{\varphi_i}(A) = \{\nabla \in \beta(\mathsf{Uf}(B_i)) : A \in \nabla\}$, for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, we can consider the Stone dual

$$(h_*^\beta)^*\colon \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_1)) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_2)) \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$(h_*^\beta)^*(A) = B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \widehat{\varphi_2}(B) = (h_*^\beta)^{-1}\left(\widehat{\varphi_1}(A)\right). \tag{4.4}$$

We summarize in the diagram of Figure 4 the previous constructions.



FIGURE 4. Summary of the constructions made through of the Stone duality and the Stone-Čech compactification.

Remark 4.1. Let $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_1))$ and $\Delta \in \beta(\mathsf{Uf}(B_2))$. Then,

$$\Delta \in (h_*^\beta)^{-1}\left(\widehat{\varphi_1}(A)\right) \iff h_*^\beta(\Delta) \in \widehat{\varphi_1}(A) \iff A \in h_*^\beta(\Delta).$$

Recall that $B_i^\sigma = \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_i))$ for $i = 1, 2$ and the canonical extension $h^\sigma \colon \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_1)) \to \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_2))$ of h is defined by (2.3).

Now, we are ready to establish and prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $h \colon B_1 \to B_2$ be a Boolean homomorphism between Boolean algebras. Then $h^\sigma = (h_*^\beta)^*$.*

Proof. Let $A \in B_1^\sigma = \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_1))$. Let $B \in B_2^\sigma = \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Uf}(B_2))$ be such that

$$(h_*^\beta)^*(A) = B \iff \widehat{\varphi_2}(B) = (h_*^\beta)^{-1}\left(\widehat{\varphi_1}(A)\right). \tag{4.5}$$

Let $v \in \mathsf{Uf}(B_2)$. From (4.1), Remark 4.1 and moreover by (4.5) we obtain the following equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} h_*(v) \in A &\iff A \in \beta_1(h_*(v)) = (\beta_1 \circ h_*)(v) \iff A \in h_*^\beta(\beta_2(v)) \\ &\iff \beta_2(v) \in (h_*^\beta)^{-1}\left(\widehat{\varphi_1}(A)\right) \iff \beta_2(v) \in \widehat{\varphi_2}(B) \\ &\iff v \in B \iff v \in (h_*^\beta)^*(A). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Now, we assume that $v \in h^\sigma(A)$. Then, by (2.3), there is $F \in \mathsf{Fi}(B_1)$ such that $\bigcap \varphi_1[F] \subseteq A$ and $v \in \bigcap\{\varphi_2(h(a)) : a \in F\}$. Thus $v \in \varphi_2(h(a))$ for all $a \in F$ and this implies that $F \subseteq h^{-1}[v]$. As h is a Boolean homomorphism, we have that $h_*(v) = h^{-1}[v] \in \mathsf{Uf}(B_1)$. Then, since $\bigcap \varphi_1[F] \subseteq A$, it follows that $h_*(v) \in A$. Thus, by (4.6), we obtain $v \in (h_*^\beta)^*(A)$. Hence, we have proved that $h^\sigma(A) \subseteq (h_*^\beta)^*(A)$.

In order to prove the inverse inclusion, assume that $v \in (h_*^\beta)^*(A)$. By (4.6), we have $h_*(v) \in A$. Let $F := h_*(v) = h^{-1}[v] \in \mathsf{Uf}(B_1)$ and so, in particular, $F \in \mathsf{Fi}(B_1)$. Since F is maximal, it follows that $\bigcap \varphi_1[F] = \{F\}$ and then $\bigcap \varphi_1[F] \subseteq A$. Let $a \in F = h^{-1}[v]$. Thus $v \in \varphi_2(h(a))$. Hence $v \in \bigcap\{\varphi_2(h(a)) : a \in F\}$. Then, we have proved that there exists $F \in \mathsf{Fi}(B_1)$ such that $\bigcap \varphi_1[F] \subseteq A$ and $v \in \bigcap\{\varphi_2(h(a)) : a \in A$. Hence, by

(2.3), we have $v \in h^\sigma(A)$. We thus obtain $(h_*^\beta)^*(A) \subseteq h^\sigma(A)$. Therefore, $(h_*^\beta)^*(A) = h^\sigma(A)$. □

References

[1] B. A. Davey and H. A. Priestley. *Introduction to lattices and order*. Cambridge University Press, 2 edition, 2002.

[2] J. M. Dunn, M. Gehrke, and A. Palmigiano. Canonical extensions and relational completeness of some substructural logics. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 70:713–740, 2005.

[3] R. Engelking. *General topology*, volume 6 of *Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics*. Heldermann Verlag, 2 edition, 1989.

[4] M. Gehrke and J. Harding. Bounded lattice expansions. *Journal of Algebra*, 238(1):345–371, 2001.

[5] M. Gehrke and B. Jónsson. Bounded distributive lattices with operators. *Mathematica Japonica*, 40(2):207–215, 1994.

[6] M. Gehrke and B. Jónsson. Monotone bounded distributive lattice expansions. *Mathematica japonicae*, 52(2):197–213, 2000.

[7] M. Gehrke and B. Jónsson. Bounded distributive lattice expansions. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 94(1):13–45, 2004.

[8] L. Gillman and J. Meyer. *Rings of continuous functions*. Van Nostrand, 1960.

[9] N. Hindman and D. Strauss. *Algebra in the Stone-Čech compactification: theory and applications*. De Gruyter, 2nd revisted and extended edition edition, 2012.

[10] B. Jónsson and A. Tarski. Boolean algebras with operators. i. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 73(4):891–939, 1951.

[11] B. Jónsson and A. Tarski. Boolean algebras with operators. ii. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 74(1):127–162, 1952.

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de La Pampa. Avda. Uruguay 151, 6300 Santa Rosa (La Pampa), Argentina.

E-mail address: lucianogonzalez@exactas.unlpam.edu.ar